Influence of ester group size in PMAs on β phase crystallization of PVF₂ in highly oriented films of PVF₂/PMAs blends

Ye Chen and Decal Yang

Polymer Physics Laboratory, Changchun Institute of Applied Chemistry, Academia Sinica, Changchun 130022, People's Republic of China

Summary

Influence of ester group size in polymethacrylates (PMAs), including PMMA, PEMA and PBMA, on B phase crystallization of poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVF₂) in highly oriented films of PVF₂ /PMAs=80/20 blends has been investigated by FTIR and TEM. The melt-drawn films of pure $PVF₂$ consist of highly oriented lamellae. in which the α phase is predominant. Adding a given amount of PMAs (20 wt%) into PVF₂ results in formation of fibrillar crystals and increase of relative amount of the β phase. The influence extent is in order of $PMMA > PEMA > PAMA$, regarding the ester group size in the PMAs.

Introduction

The blending systems of $PVF₂$ with PMAs are thermodynamically compatible in the amorphous state. Most of the previous investigations focus on the compatibility between them $(1-6)$, while only a few publications are related to the influence of PMAs(mainly, PMMA) on the crystalline modifications of $PVF_{\alpha}(7-9)$. In a previous paper(8) we reported the crystalline structure of highly oriented films of PVF_2 in the blends of PVF_2 with PMMA. In the present paper we discuss the effects of ester group size in PMAs on morphology and β phase formation of PVF₂ in highly oriented films of $PVF₂/PMAs$ blends.

Experimental

The samples of PVF_2 and PMAs used in this work were supplied by Polysciences Inc. The molecular weights are 1.4×10^6 (PVF₂), $3.5\times$ 10^5 (PMMA), 3.4×10^5 (PEMA) and 3.2×10^5 (PBMA), respectively. Highly oriented films of $PVF₂/PMAs=80/20$ blends were prepared by a melt-drawn technique (10) with a high longitudinal rate gradient (about 10^4 sec⁻¹) and large supercooling rate. The common solvent utilized for PVF_2 and $PMAs$ was cyclohexanone. The concentration of the blends in the solvent was 0.5 wt%. The preparation temperature was about 140° . The thickness of the films prepared was about 50 to 100 nm, which could be directly used for TEM observations. By continuously winding the film on a roller, thicker multilayered films could be obtained, which were used for FTIR measurement. Annealing the films $(140^{\circ}C, 2h)$ was carried out on the surface of glycerol for single layer film or in an oven for multilayered films.

A Hitachi H-600 electron microscope operated at 100 kV was used to characterize the single layer film of the blends. Infrared spectra were taken with a Digilab FTS- 20E Fourier transform infrared spectrophotometer.

Results and discussion

Fig.1 shows FTIR spectra of highly oriented films of pure $PVF₂$ and the $PVF₂/PMAs=80/20$ blends, in which the solid arrows represent the characteristic bands of the α phase of PVF₂, while the broken arrows indicate the vibrational bands of the 8 phase. For pure PVF $_2$, the spectrum exhibits mainly the characteristics of the α phase, as the bands at 530, 615, 765, 795, 855, 975, 1214 and 1383 cm^{-1} , which corresponds to the α phase(11-13), are very strong. As for $PVF_2/PMAs$ blends, in addition to the characteristic bands of the α phase, the

vibrational bands at 510. 840 and 1280 cm^{-1} occur in the spectra, which represent the characteristics of the β phase of PVF₂ (11) -13). The relative percentage of β phase, $F(\beta)$, i.e. the ratio of the amount of β phase to the total amount of β and α phase, can be calculated by using the abeorbance intensity D of the bands at 510 cm⁻¹(β phase) and 530 cm⁻¹ (α phase), through the equation $F(\beta) = D_{510} / (D_{510} + 0.81) D_{580}$ (14). The relative amounts of β phase in the highly oriented films of pure PVF_2 and PVF_2 /PMAs blends are listed in Table 1. Clearly, adding PMAs into PVF₂ promotes the β phase formation of the latter during the melt - drawing process. Meanwhile, the relative amount of the β phase is related to the size of the ester groups in PMAs. The $F(\beta)$ increases with the decrease of the ester group volume(number of carbon atom) , i.e. the influence extent of PMAs on the β phase crystallization of PVF₂ in the blends is in order of PMMA>PEMA> PBMA .

Fig.l FTIR epectra of highly oriented films of $PVF₂/PMAs - 80/20$ blends, (a) $PVF₂$, (b) $PVF_2/PMMA$,(c) $PVF_2/$ PEMA,(d) PVF₂/PBMA.

Table.1 Relative amount of β phase, $F(\beta)$, in the films of

$PVF2$ and $PVF2/PMAe = 80/20$ blends						
---------------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

Fig.2 shows bright field defocus phase contrast electron micrographs of the highly oriented films of pure $PVF₂$ and the $PVF₂/PMAs = 80/20$ blends. The arrow represents the drawing direction, i.e. the chain direction. In the underfocus electron micrographs, the bright areas represent the amorphous regions, while the dark areas are the crystalline regions. The pure $PVF₂$ films contain highly oriented lamellae with their growing direction perpendicular to the drawing direction(Fig.2a). Adding PMAs into PVF₂ results in formation of fibrillar crystals parallel to the drawing direction(Fig.2b-d). The length of the fibrillar crystals varies from a few ten to several hundred nanometres. The content of the fibrillar crystals in the $PVF₂$ /PMAs films is related to the species of PMAs. In the case of $PVF₂/PMMA$, the fibrillar crystals are predominant in the highly oriented films. Comparing the results with that obtained from FTIR, it is ready to understand that the increase of $F(\beta)$ in the films of $PVF₂$ /PMAs blends has direct regard to the formation of the fibrillar crystals.

Fig.2 Electron micrographs of highly oriented films of pure $PVF₂$ and $PVF₃/PMAs - 80/20$ blends. (a) PVF_2 , (b) $PVF_2/PMMA$, (c) $PVF_2/PEMA$, (d) $PVF_2/PBMA$.

The above results indicate that during melt-drawn process of $PVF₂$ the presence of a certain amount of PMAs not only affects the morphology of crystalline PVF_2 but also the formation of the crystalline phases. This may be due to the effect of the hydrogen bonding interaction between PVF₂ and PMAs molecules (15, 16). The hydrogen bonding interaction is confirmed with the change of the stretching mode $v = 1730 \text{cm}^{-1}$ of the carbonyl group of PMAs in $PVF₂/PMAs$ blends. The difference FTIR spectra between the C=0 stretching frequency of PMAs in the blends and that of pure PMAs display positive absorptions at low frequency and negative absoptions at high frequency (Fig.3). It indicates that the $C=O$ stretching mode of PMAs in the blends is shifted to lower frequency, resulting from the hydrogen bonding interaction(16).

During melt-drawn process, chain extension and chain relaxation are competitive processes each other. For pure PVF2, the relaxation time is very short because the molecular weight is not high enough . Accordingly, no large amount of fibrillar crystals with extended chain could form during the melt-drawn process. In the PVF_2 /PMAs blends, however, the relaxation process of the $PVF₂$ molecules is slowed down due to the hydrogen bonding interaction, resulting in formation of fibrillar crystals. In addition, the hydrogen bonding interaction also affects chain conformation of $PVF_2(15)$. The specific interaction may induce all-trans conformation sequences of PVF₂, which act as nucleating sites for the β phase,

forming B phase crystals in both the lamellae and the fibrils. Meanwhile, the specific interaction is very sensitive to the distance between the interacting groups. The hydrogen bonding strength falls off rapidly when the atomic distance increases. The size of the ester groups in PMAs is in order of $-$ COOCH_a < $-$ COOC₂H_a < $-$ COOC₄H_a. Owing to the steric hindrance effect the hydrogen bonding strength between the molecules of PVF_2 and PMAs must be in the reverse order, i. e. PMMA >PEMA >PBMA. It is evidenced by the results of the difference spectra(Fig.3) in which the shifting of the $C=O$ stretching frequency of PMAs in the blends is in the same order (see the positive absorptions). Therefore, it is ready to understand why the contents of both the B phase and the fibrillar crystals of $PVF₂$ in the blends with PMMA are much higher than that with PEMA and PBMA.

References

- 1. Coleman M-M, et al (1977) J Polym Sci Polym Lett Edn 15:745
- 2. Paul D-R, et al (1978) Polym Engng Sci 18:1225
- 3. Roerdink E , Challa G (1978) Polymer 19:173
- 4. Idem, ibid (1980) 21:509
- 5. Wendorff J-H (1980) J Polym Sci Polym Lett Edn 18:439
- 6. Morra B-S , Stein R-S (1982) J Polym Sci Polym Phys Edn 20:2243
- 7. Leonard D, et al (1983) Polym Commun 24:110
- 8. Yang D-C, Thomas E-L (1987) J Mater Sci Lett 6:593
- 9. Song D-D, et al (1990) J Mater Sci 25:57
- 10.Petermann **J ,** Gohil R-M(1979) J Mater Sci 14:2260
- ll.Kobayashi M, et al (1975) Macromolecules 8:158
- 12.Bachmann M-A et al (1979) J AppI Phys 50:6106
- 13.Tashiro K, et al (1981) Macromolecules 14:1757
- 14.Osaki S , Ishida A (1975) J Polym Sci Polym Phys Edn 13:1071
- 15.Leonard C, et al (1988) Macromolecules 21:2988
- 16.Leonard C, et al (1985) Polymer 26:1507

Accepted April 6,1993 S